Mathematical Models for the Assessment of the Composite Structures of Cylindrical Elements Level of Performances, Used in Brackish Seas

Constantin Jomir, Carmen Mihai, Alexandra Gabriela Ene, and Mihaela Jomir

The National Research and Development Institute for Textiles and Leather, Bucharest, 030508, Romania

ABSTRACT

This The safety of life and navigation in the areas with high risk potential adjacent to the coast, the fight against pollution with oil fractions, as well as the protection of marine biodiversity represent the central objective of this research action. The developed regression equations express the influence on the resistance to tearing for the transverse/longitudinal system of both: i) mass, resistance and elongation at break of the composite matrix structure and ii) resistance and elongation at break, resistance to knot and loop breaking of the yarn from composite matrix structure. The two tested variants of composite matrix material were differentiated by the fibrous composition for the longitudinal // transversal systems (45//55%PES//PA6.6, ratio: 1:1//100//100 PES//PES, ratio 2:1). Four mathematical models that are approximately normally distributed and follow the cumulative percentage line described by the normal curve were created. The mathematical models demonstrated that, in the design stage, for dynamic conditions (impact factor 2.5) the tear resistance value should be considered in both systems. It was demonstrated that the analised outliers, represented by the mechanical characteristics of the composite materials, component of the signaling system (braking resistance, tear resistance, braking elongation) and their joints (materialmaterial and material – graingross band) do not have a negative impact on the textile structure, because they have values higher than the average for each set, so they will not influence the behavior and the functionality of the system in ground.

Keywords: Database, Statistic analysis, Circular cylinder, Regression equation, Correlation coefficient

INTRODUCTION

The technique of signalling of the risk areas for the marine domain in general, and for brackish water in particular, takes into consideration the following specific situations: i) for inland waterways, and in international waters, the particularities are related to the hydrological characteristics (Borsa, 2014; Borsa, 2015)defined by: the cross-section, flow rate, level and slope of the watercourse, bathymetric curve, valley, navigable channel and its overall dimensions (Atanasiu, 2022); ii) for the protection of marine biodiversity,

this is especially related to the coastal geomorphology, the bottom configuration of the aquatic environment (Bejan et al. 2019; Georgescu, 2021) the thermal regime of the water in the shore area, etc.; iii) for oil spills, which have dramatic effects on marine ecosystems, as a result of the exposure of living organisms to chemical compounds, it is particularly important to assess the state of agitation of the sea, the type-size of the stain, the hydrometeorological conditions at the time of the intervention, etc (Olteanu, 2004; Cipollari et al. 1999).

One of the critical point was to statistically assess and decide on the level of performance of the matrix structures of the modular systems with cylindrical form, designed and developed for the sustainable development of biodiversity and aquatic ecosystems for brackish seas (water with low salinity, of $-0.5 - 30 \text{ â}\text{L}^\circ$) found in areas where a river flows into the sea or ocean (Vasiliev et al. 200; Cita, 1982; Andreescu, 1972).

For this purpose, a database that includes independent variables related to the physical-mechanical/physical-chemical values of the used raw materials was created.

Were considered 1400 values associated to the: i) mass per surface unit; ii) maximum breaking force in the warp and weft; iii) elongation at break in the warp and weft; iv) tearing force in the warp and weft; v) film type.

Moreover, 1600 values related to the raw material, were included for determination of the: resistance and elongation at break, resistance at the knot and loop.

Descriptive statistics were used for: a) determination of the parameters that evidence the homogeneity degree of the analysed data; b) assessment of the coefficients of variability that demonstrate the extent to which the data groups are homogeneous or heterogeneous; c) drawn the histograms of each variable in order to highlight the asymmetry of the distribution, with the predominance of frequencies or variables.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The testing was carried out in accredited laboratories according to SR EN ISO/CEI 17025:2001 for 2 variants of textile structures and 2 variants of threads from their component. Relevant information related to the data structures, analyses performed and the number of determinations is presented in Table 1.

The database created includes 3000 values and 11 variables divided as follows: a) 3 independent variables representing the physical-chemical/physicomechanical characteristics for 2 variants of raw material (V1 and V2) used to make the components of high-tech composite structures for the sustainable development of biodiversity and aquatic ecosystems, cylindrical in shape and used in brackish seas and b) 3 independent variables representing the physical-mechanical characteristics for 2 variants of raw material (PES and PA 6.6).

The tearing force in the warp and weft for the 2 variants tested were considered as dependent variables (400 values).

| Characteristics | Variant 1 | Variant 2 | | | | |
|-------------------------|---|---------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Data for textile struct | ures identification | | | | | |
| Raw material:Warp | 100% PES | 100% PES | | | | |
| Raw material:Weft | 100% PA6.6 | 100% PES | | | | |
| Length of density: | 1000den/195fx1/120Z | 1000den/195fx1/120Z | | | | |
| Warp | | | | | | |
| Length of density: | 940den/120fx1/80Z | 1000den/195fx1/120Z | | | | |
| Weft | | | | | | |
| Film type/colour | polyurethane film /green | teflon/orange film | | | | |
| Performed analyzes /N | No. of determinations | | | | | |
| Textile structures | mass per surface unit/200 data | | | | | |
| | maximum breaking strength in warp and weft/400 data | | | | | |
| | elongation at break in warp and weft/400 data | | | | | |
| | breaking strength in warp and weft/400 data | | | | | |
| Raw materials | Braking resistance and elongation/800 data | | | | | |
| (yarns) PES and | knot resistance /400 data | | | | | |
| PA6.6 | loop resistance /400 data | | | | | |
| | 1 | | | | | |

 Table 1. Identification data of variants of structures and threads for composite materials achievement and the performed analyzes.

Using descriptive statistics: a) were determined the amplitude, standard deviation and dispersion; b) were calculated coefficients of variability and was evidenced the homogeneity or heterogeneity of the data groups; c) were drawn the histograms of each variable; d) were represented the level and dispersion indicators - the box - plot graphs.).

The histograms drawn for all 11 studied characteristics for raw materials (yarns: PES and PA6.6 and 2 variants of textile structures) are presented in Figure 1 and 2, and the boxplot graphs for the same characteristics (Figure 3 and 4). Statistics are presented in Table 2.



Figure 1: Histograms for raw materials (yarns): - breaking resistance: a. PES, e. PA6.6; - braking elongation: b. PES, f. PA6.6; - knot resistance c. PES, g. PA6.6; - loop resistance d. PES, h. PA6.6.



Figure 2: Histograms for textile structures: - mass a. V1, f. V2; - breaking force in warp b. V1, g. V2; weft c. V1, h. V2; - breaking elongation in warp d. V1, i.V2, weft e.V1, j. V2.



Figure 3: Box plot graphics - variable for raw materials (yarns): a) breaking and knot resistance for PES vs. PA6.6; b) breaking elongation for PES vs. PA6.6; c) loop resistance for PES vs. PA6.6; - variable for textile structures: d) breaking force for V1, V2 warp, weft; e) mass V1, V2; f) breaking elongation V1, V2 warp, weft, tearing force V1, V2 warp, weft.

The obtained asymmetry indicators evidenced that for all variables the series present left or positive asymmetry, with small values predominating.

Skewness negative and much different from zero (Table 2) demonstrates that the data series is strongly negatively asymmetric, the curve being elongated to the left.

For positive Kurtosis values the curve is sharp, so the distribution is leptokurtic, and for negative values the curve is platykurtic with a strong variation of the variable in parallel with a weak variation of the frequencies.

| Ν | Mean | Skewness | Kurtosis | Percentiles | | |
|-----|--|--|---|---|--|--|
| | | | | 25 | 50 | 75 |
| | | | | | | |
| 200 | 96.5 | 0.180 | -0.659 | 95.73 | 96.45 | 97.40 |
| 200 | 18.1 | -0.036 | -0.410 | 17.83 | 18.01 | 18.32 |
| 200 | 57.3 | 0.124 | 0.094 | 56.26 | 57.31 | 58.31 |
| 200 | 146.3 | 0.169 | -0.419 | 142.23 | 145.93 | 150.25 |
| | | | | | | |
| 200 | 98.5 | 0.022 | -0.628 | 97.79 | 98.53 | 99.24 |
| 200 | 26.6 | -0.036 | -0.243 | 26.44 | 26.59 | 26.71 |
| 200 | 66.6 | -0.050 | -0.002 | 65.91 | 66.64 | 67.40 |
| 200 | 159.3 | 0.094 | 0.323 | 156.34 | 159.42 | 162.37 |
| | | | | | | |
| 100 | 241.3 | -0.250 | 0.383 | 240.44 | 241.35 | 242.03 |
| 100 | 1428.7 | -0.327 | 0.842 | 1423.94 | 1429.15 | 1433.07 |
| 100 | 2410.8 | -0.138 | -0.468 | 2401.53 | 2411.05 | 2420.92 |
| 100 | 42.2 | -0.082 | 0.186 | 41.14 | 42.29 | 43.16 |
| 100 | 44.7 | 0.140 | -0.053 | 42.58 | 44.60 | 46.76 |
| 100 | 61.0 | -0.108 | -0.347 | 59.02 | 60.88 | 62.87 |
| 100 | 84.4 | 0.375 | 0.386 | 83.49 | 84.29 | 85.32 |
| | | | | | | |
| 100 | 298.2 | -0.289 | -0.353 | 296.05 | 298.20 | 301.06 |
| 100 | 1429.1 | -0.077 | -0.203 | 1422.29 | 1430.24 | 1434.87 |
| 100 | 1465.4 | -0.229 | -0.302 | 1459.74 | 1466.39 | 1471.47 |
| 100 | 40.4 | 0.396 | -0.228 | 38.84 | 40.34 | 41.54 |
| 100 | 42.1 | 0.059 | -0.172 | 40.46 | 41.90 | 43.83 |
| 100 | 59.3 | -0.268 | 0.246 | 56.91 | 59.25 | 62.37 |
| 100 | 60.1 | 0.169 | -0.279 | 57.93 | 60.38 | 63.47 |
| | N 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 20 | N Mean 200 96.5 200 18.1 200 57.3 200 146.3 200 98.5 200 26.6 200 66.6 200 142.3 100 241.3 100 241.3 100 241.3 100 42.2 100 42.2 100 44.7 100 84.4 100 298.2 100 1429.1 100 298.2 100 40.4 100 42.1 100 59.3 100 59.3 100 60.1 | NMeanSkewness 200 96.50.180 200 18.1 -0.036 200 18.1 -0.036 200 57.30.124 200 146.30.169 200 26.6 -0.036 200 26.6 -0.036 200 26.6 -0.050 200 159.30.094 200 241.3 -0.250 100 241.3 -0.250 100 241.87 -0.327 100 2410.8 -0.138 100 42.2 -0.082 100 44.70.140 100 61.0 -0.108 100 84.40.375 100 1429.1 -0.077 100 1429.1 -0.077 100 1429.1 -0.077 100 40.40.396 100 42.10.059 100 59.3 -0.268 100 60.10.169 | NMeanSkewnessKurtosis20096.5 0.180 -0.659 20018.1 -0.036 -0.410 20057.3 0.124 0.094 200146.3 0.169 -0.419 20026.6 -0.036 -0.243 20026.6 -0.050 -0.002 200159.3 0.094 0.323 20066.6 -0.050 -0.002 200159.3 0.094 0.323 100241.3 -0.250 0.383 1001428.7 -0.327 0.842 1002410.8 -0.138 -0.468 10042.2 -0.082 0.186 10044.7 0.140 -0.053 10061.0 -0.108 -0.347 10084.4 0.375 0.386 1001429.1 -0.077 -0.203 100145.4 -0.229 -0.302 10040.4 0.396 -0.228 10042.1 0.059 -0.172 10059.3 -0.268 0.246 10060.1 0.169 -0.279 | $\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ | $\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $ |

Table 2. Statistics.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

An extensive study has been conducted and the obtained results evidenced the following.

The series are very homogeneous, with reduced variation. As the variability coefficient for all variables $V \leq 5\%$, could be concluded that the representatively is the average value of the analysed series.

For all 11 variables the box-plot graphs demonstrate a very low variability of the results, because the dimensions of the box are small and, in most cases, median. The central tendency overlaps its edges.

The links between the considered variables were established according to the values of the correlation coefficients for each of the studied composite structures.

The correlation analysis carried out highlighted that the modulus of the correlation coefficient is in the interval [0.646; 0.903] for V1 in the interval [0.646; 0.900] for V2, and that there are strong links between them.

The quadratic multiple correlation coefficient determined with the help of a specialized software demonstrates that for the corresponding mathematical model for tearing force in warp V1, 100% of the variation of the dependent variable is explained by this model. The regression equation is: Tearing force V1warp = $324.7 - 0.9 \text{ mass} + 0.1 \text{ F}_{max}$ break elongation V1U – 1.95 brake elongation V1U – 0.06 break elongation V1B – 0.49 Knot R_{break} PES

For the corresponding mathematical model of tearing force in weft V1, 75% of the variation of the dependent variable is explained by the model and the predictive power is approximately 83%. The regression equation for tearing force weft is:

Tearing force V1weft = $30.3 - 0.14 \text{ masa} + 0.03 \text{ F}_{max}$ Break V1U - 0.001 F_{max} Break V1B - 0.36 breake elongation V1B - 0.47 breake elongation V1B $- 0.04 \text{ R}_{break}$ PA6.6 - 0.06 Knot R_{brak} PA6.6 - 0.07 Loop R_{break} PA6.6.

The value of the quadratic multiple correlation coefficient of 61.4% demonstrates that, for the corresponding mathematical model tearing force in warp V2, the variation of the dependent variable is explained by this model. The regression equation is of the form:

Tear resistence $V2U = 576.9 - 3.2 \text{ mass} + .08 \text{ F}_{max}$ break V2U - .007 F_{max} break V2B + 3.39 breaking elongation V2U + 3.9 breaking elongation $V2B + 3.2 \text{ R}_{break} \text{ PES} - .6 \text{ knot } \text{R}_{break} \text{ PES} - .42 \text{Loop } \text{R}_{breaj} \text{ PES}$

The significance threshold for the F test has a value of 0.945, which leads to the idea that the model explains significantly more variation if other unforeseen or uncontrolled factors are considered (design on systems, connection, mounting and adjustment parameters, thickness of deposit on the surface, etc.)

It was demonstrated that the Mathematical Model for predicting tearing force in weft V2 is of 93.7% from the variation of the dependent variable and is explained by the model. The regression equation for Tear Strength V2B is:

Tear resistance V2B = $1191.2 - 3.8 \text{ mass} - .16 \text{ F}_{max}$ break V2U - .18 F_{max} break V2B - 2.5 breaking elongation V2U - 11.3 breaking elongation V2B - 10.37 R_{break} PES - .61 Knot R_{brak} PES + Loop1.36 R_{break} PES

It can be stated with a probability of 95% that there is a dependency between the variables considered for each version of the woven structure and this aspect must be considered in the design stage of the fabrics. In addition, considering the sign of the correlation, that is positive, there is a directly proportional relationship between the analysed variable.

CONCLUSION

For the V1 variant, the mathematical models created for the tear resistance in the warp and the weft highlighted the fact that for the prediction of the value of the tear resistance of the composite element, the values of this characteristic in the warp must be considered. For the values of this characteristic in the weft, it is necessary to introduce additional independent variables. In the design stage for the woven structure, this aspect must be considered as a function of the tear resistance value in the weft under dynamic conditions (impact factor of 2.5).

For the V2 variant, the value of the significance threshold for the F test highlights the fact that the mathematical model for the V2 tearing force in warp explains significantly more variation if other unforeseen or uncontrolled factors are considered (system design, connection, mounting

and adjustment parameters, thickness deposition on the surface, etc.). The values obtained for tearing force Vs2 in weft are approximately normally distributed, but there is a tendency to underestimate the reality.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This scientific paper is funded by the Ministry of Research, Innovation, Digitalisation within Program 1 - Development of the national RD system, Subprogram 1.2 - Institutional Performance - RDI excellence funding projects, Contract no. 4PFE/2021.

REFERENCES

- Andreescu I., (1972): "Contribuții la stratigrafia Dacianului și Romanianului din zona de curbură a Carpaților Orientali", D. S. Inst. Geol., VIII, 4, București.
- Atanasiu, T. (2022): "Bazele navigatiei. Navigatie estimata si costiera", Editura Academiei Navale "Mircea cel Batran" Constanta.
- Bejan, A., Bujenita, M., (2019) "Dictionar de Marina", Editura Militara, Bucuresti.
- Borsa, G, (2015): "Complementi di Nautica, Posiziamento di Precisione nella Guerra di Mine", Accademia Navale, Livorno.
- Borsa, G, (2014): "Complementi di Nautica, La Strumentazione Nautica", Accademia Navale, Livorno.
- Cipollari P., Cosentino D., Esu D., Girotti O., Gliozzi E., Praturlon A., (1999): "Thrust-top lacustrine-lagoonal basin development in accretionary wedges: late Messinian eoisode in the central Apennines (Italy)", Paleogeography, Paleoclimatology, Paleoecology, 151, 149–166, Roma.
- Cita M. B., (1982): "The Messinian salinity crisis in the Mediterranean", In Berckhemer H., Hsü K. J. (Eds.), Alpine Mediterranean Geodynamics, 7, 113–140.

Georgescu St. (2021): "Note de curs - Navigatie - Academia Navala", Constanta.

- Olteanu R., (2004): "Oscillations of the Black Sea level during the Miocene-Pliocene times", Geo-Eco-Marina 7-8, Bucureşti.
- Vasiliev Iuliana, Krijgsman W., Stoica M., Langereis G., (2005): "Mio-Pliocene magnetostratigraphy in the southern Carpathian foredeep and Mediterranean-Paratethys correlation", Terra Nova, 17, 376–384.