

Navigating the Artificial Intelligence Landscape in Higher Education: A Human-Centered Approach to Integrating Technology for Enhanced Learning

Jodi Loughlin

Misericordia University, Dallas, PA 18612, USA

ABSTRACT

The emergence of Artificial Intelligence (AI) has significantly transformed the landscape of teaching and learning in higher education. As AI technologies continue to evolve, they are redefining how educators deliver content, how students engage with learning materials, and how instructors assess student performance. Educators and researchers must continue to support a human-centered pedagogical system within our institutions while incorporating Al effectively. The ongoing research in this area seeks to explore best practices for integrating Al in a way which enhances learning outcomes without compromising academic integrity or human-centered, educational settings. Recent studies have indicated that AI technologies can facilitate student engagement, support differentiated instruction and provide curriculum which positively impacts the teaching and learning environment. The current research in this area explores best practices for integrating AI in a way that improves learning outcomes without compromising academic integrity or human-centered pedagogy. However, the effectiveness of Al in education depends on the digital literacy of both students and instructors. Students may unknowingly engage in Al practices that promote dishonest academic outcomes. Institutional guidelines and policies focused on using Al tools appropriately must be created and uniformly enforced in higher education. Furthermore, professional development and ongoing, consistent training are crucial to ensure educators can successfully integrate Al tools in the classroom. The rapid pace of technological change has made it difficult for universities to remain informed about best practices, often leading to a trial-and-error approach to implementation. Faculty and administrators must continue to remain current with trends and the latest developments in AI technologies while ensuring institutional goals, pedagogical frameworks, and accreditation standards are being upheld. All has the potential to transform higher education by fostering more efficient and engaging learning experiences. However, its successful adoption requires a thoughtful, evidence-based approach that considers using Al technologies in a human-centered pedagogical system. As institutions continue to adapt to this new dynamic within the education field, continued research, training, and planning will be critical to display the full potential of Al in higher education.

Keywords: Artificial intelligence in higher education, Human-centered approach, AI teaching and learning

822 Loughlin

INTRODUCTION

Artificial Intelligence (AI) has fundamentally transformed the pedagogical landscape of higher education, providing students with unprecedented access to information that supports both personal and academic endeavors. However, the effective and ethical integration of AI presents significant challenges for institutions. If AI usage is not strategically governed, students may inadvertently violate academic integrity policies or contribute to the perpetuation of misinformation due to the inherent bias in generative AI tools. Therefore, AI in higher education represents a transformative tool for both students and faculty, but its success is contingent upon essential training, professional development, and robust institutional support. This research asserts that the teaching and learning process must maintain a human-centered pedagogical core, which is complemented by the rigorous implementation of best practices and instruction focused on maximizing student academic success in the digital era.

HUMAN-CENTERED APPROACH

A human-centered pedagogical approach prioritizes educational experiences to maximize learning outcomes. This approach to teaching and learning focuses on providing students with a personalized educational experience designed to optimize their learning outcomes. Integrating artificial intelligence (AI) in learning technology requires a human-centered design, given its direct involvement in teaching and human interaction (Yang et al., 2021). When technology interacts with humans, it is important to ensure that human needs, emotions, privacy, and ethical concerns are discussed and addressed appropriately so both students' and teachers' perspectives are represented. Without this safeguard, educators and higher education systems may inadvertently incorporate AI technologies into their own pedagogical system, thus alienating the very students they are committed to support. With the emergence of Human-Centered AI (HCAI) in education, institutions of higher learning have converged to provide a designed educational approach that focuses on diverse student needs, institutional alignment, and educator goals (Shneiderman, 2022). This approach focuses on all aspects of teaching and learning to establish equity among all stakeholders from the stage of implementation to assessment.

HCAI Framework: Precision Learning and Smart Analytics

Yang et al. (2021) identified specific pedagogical categories of HCAI, namely precision education and smart learning analytics. Precision education focuses on assessing student learning and outcomes to develop individualized learning strategies and targeted interventions that support students in achieving predetermined educational objectives. Within this teaching and learning model, educators use analyzed data to guide their pedagogical methods while maintaining a human-centered focus on student needs and institutional goals. Smart learning analytics uses AI as a tool to help with the identification of students needing interventions.

HCAI in Practice

This approach establishes the student as the central driver of the educational paradigm, with identified learner needs dictating instructional design and curriculum delivery. By diagnosing specific content deficits and areas of the curriculum that present significant student challenges, targeted remediation and flexible, self-paced learning modalities can be strategically deployed. This synergistic strategy not only optimizes knowledge acquisition but also equips educators with an empirically validated curriculum structure. Such a structure features embedded, real-time monitoring of individual student progress against pre-defined learning objectives and goal attainment benchmarks, ensuring dynamic instructional fidelity and maximum student success.

TEACHING AND LEARNING

Research on Artificial Intelligence in Education (AIED), as articulated by Wang et al. (2024), delineates three primary application areas: adaptive and personalized learning, intelligent assessment, and profiling and prediction.

Adaptive Learning and Individualization

Adaptive and personalized learning fundamentally employ a human-centered approach, focusing on instructional methods that cater to the heterogeneous and unique needs of individual students. Given that every learner enters the classroom with a diverse set of needs, educators must integrate this diversity to adapt instruction and provide personalized learning opportunities that meet students precisely at their current developmental level. For instance, the strategic deployment of AI-enabled tutoring systems provides both personalized instruction and immediate, corrective feedback (U.S. Department of Education, Office of Educational Technology, 2023; Walter, 2024). These systems are adept at delivering customized support for diverse learners, including those with neurodiversity, varied learning styles, and specific requirements for acceleration, remediation, or accessibility (Bhutoria, 2022). By tailoring the learning environment to be highly diversified, educators can effectively scaffold their instructional practices and achieve learning outcomes that demonstrably meet course content competencies. This congruence between technology and need increases student inclination to engage in the learning process using AI adaptive learning technologies, as the focus is overtly on their particular requirements.

Intelligent Assessment and Asset-Oriented Feedback

To complement personalization, the implementation of intelligent assessment is crucial. These AI technologies evaluate student learning and provide feedback specifically engineered to ensure diverse learning needs are addressed. Crucially, these assessments should not merely focus on identifying student deficits but must strategically include and build upon identified learner strengths, thereby cultivating an asset-oriented learning environment (U.S. Department of Education, Office of Educational Technology, 2023).

824 Loughlin

By adopting this perspective on assessment, educators can empower students to leverage positive attributes to enhance learning opportunities while simultaneously addressing specific knowledge gaps. This dual focus on strengths and weaknesses is critical for fostering a growth mindset, where feedback is structured not as a critique of failure but as a pathway to utilizing existing competencies for future success, ultimately enriching the overall educational experience and building student confidence.

Profiling, Prediction, and Pedagogical Shift

Profiling and prediction AIED applications further allow the customization and support of student learning by generating sophisticated learner models that predict outcomes and assist in the proactive identification and support of at-risk students (Wang et al., 2024). Learner profiles furnish a holistic view of the student, focusing on characteristics such as learning styles, interests, and socio-educational backgrounds. When this data is analyzed and coupled with predictive analytics, a more customizable, adaptive learning plan can be developed and continually revised as the student progresses through the curriculum.

As AIED technologies shift the educational landscape toward a student-centered model, higher education instructors must accordingly transition their pedagogical roles. The traditional function of the instructor as a content expert who disseminates knowledge via lecture must evolve to that of a facilitator who cultivates a personalized, interactive, and collaborative environment centered on critical thinking. AI technologies inherently shift the focus of student learning from rote memorization to the application of sophisticated critical thinking skills (Pierci Sejdiu and Sejdiu, 2025). Critical thinking skills fundamentally involve the analysis and synthesis of information, encompassing the questioning and problem-solving application of learned material. This transformation significantly impacts how content is delivered and assessed, mandating a transition to active learning processes that encourage the application of concepts rather than passive recall. Ultimately, the paramount goal remains providing an engaging, student-centered curriculum that rigorously meets both institutional objectives and accreditation standards.

BEST PRACTICES FOR AI INTEGRATION IN HIGHER EDUCATION

The effective implementation of AI in higher education mandates a multifaceted best-practices framework focusing on three core pillars: faculty and student development, robust policy creation, and ethical evaluation of technological bias. Within this rapidly evolving landscape, faculty must diligently safeguard student rights and academic integrity while adhering to institutional guidelines.

Pedagogical Shift and Personalized Learning

Adhering to a human-centered pedagogical approach, faculty can leverage AI to cultivate a learning environment with demonstrably positive student impacts (Mikroyannididis et al., 2024). Diagnosing students' individual strengths and weaknesses can effectively drive instruction and assessment by enabling continuous monitoring and the provision of individualized instruction to meet diverse developmental needs. Studies have confirmed that personalized tutoring and adaptive learning models not only increase academic performance but also maintain high levels of critical thinking (Bhutoria, 2022).

The integration of these personalized educational experiences necessitates a profound re-evaluation of the educator's role, shifting their primary function from solely a content deliverer to that of a pedagogical facilitator (Srinivasan, 2022). This structural change presents a significant challenge for higher education faculty, as it directly confronts established teaching and assessment methodologies. Faculty may struggle to adapt their practices as students utilize AI to complete assignments previously done without technological aid. This disparity creates two risks: students may exploit an instructor's lack of AI awareness to compromise academic integrity, or conversely, faculty may ban all AI use, potentially impeding legitimate learning and skill development.

Standardizing AI Use with Clear Policies and Tools

To mitigate ambiguity, institutions must develop transparent policies and clear guidelines for AI usage to maintain academic integrity (Lo, 2023). Implementing standardized tools, such as the AI Assessment Scale (see Table 1), provides students with a visual, explicit representation of acceptable AI usage levels for coursework and assessments. This systematic approach demystifies the process of AI utilization, providing both students and faculty with a reliable, mutually acceptable measure that ensures compliance with institutional policies. Removing the guesswork surrounding technology's permissible use is paramount to proper implementation.

Scale Levels	AI Level Descriptors	AI Usage Descriptors
1	No AI	AI must not be used at any point.
2	AI Assisted Idea Generation and Structuring	No AI content is allowed in the final submission.
3	AI-Assisted Editing	AI can be used, but original work with no AI content must be provided.
4	AI Task Completion, Human Evaluation	You will use AI to complete specified tasks in your assessment.
5	Full AI	You may use AI throughout your assessment.

Table 1: The Al assessment scale (Perkins et al., 2024).

826 Loughlin

Addressing Bias and Promoting Inclusivity

Ongoing professional development and training for both faculty and students must be continuously updated and aligned with the latest AI advancements to ensure a current and appropriate knowledge base (Obermeier, 2024). This is especially critical to guarantee equitable accessibility to new technology, as marginalized users may otherwise lack access to the latest updates and advancements.

Furthermore, this training must explicitly address the pervasive bias inherent in generative AI technologies. Since many AI platforms rely on input data often collected from users within the predominant, privileged culture, many diverse voices risk being misrepresented or unheard. Bias has been identified as a major ethical factor in AI technologies and requires systematic mitigation in higher education (Mikroyannidis et al., 2024; Srinivasan, 2022). Higher education must mandate the future scrutinization of input data to proactively reveal preexisting bias and ensure that societal differences are not inappropriately normalized. If the central focus of best practices is the inclusivity of all groups, then university policies and faculty training must address this concern from the inception of policy formulation and implementation.

In sum, the effective, student-centered integration of AI necessitates a continuous cycle of pedagogical alignment, policy transparency, and ethical diligence.

CONCLUSION

Artificial Intelligence has irrevocably integrated itself into the framework of higher education, simultaneously reshaping student learning experiences and impacting institutional standards. This study has underscored the imperative of adopting a Human-Centered Approach (HCA) to guide this transformation, ensuring pedagogical practices remain focused on personalized learning, equity, aned student success. The discussion highlighted how AIED applications like adaptive learning, intelligent assessment, and learner profiling can enhance critical thinking and tailor instruction to diverse student needs, effectively repositioning the educator's role toward that of a facilitator. Realizing AI's full potential, however, hinges on proactive institutional leadership and oversight to address pressing concerns regarding academic integrity, inherent technological bias, and digital accessibility. The necessary course of action involves continuous, aligned professional development, the creation of transparent, uniformly enforced policies, and a fundamental shift in assessment that prioritizes application and synthesis over passive recall. Institutions must commit to constant vigilance and adaptation, maintaining a thoughtful, evidence-based strategy that integrates AI to complement the human element in education, not supersede it. Ultimately, the future success of higher education is predicated on its capacity to ethically and inclusively harness AI's power, firmly embedding it within a supportive, human-centered pedagogical system.

REFERENCES

- Bhutoria, A. (2022). Personalized education and Artificial Intelligence in the United States, China and India: A systematic review using human-in-the-loop model. *Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence*, 3, 1–18.
- Lo,C.K. (2023). What is the impact of ChatGpt on Education? A rapid review of the literature. *Education Sciences Volume*, 13(4), 1–15.
- Mikroyannidis, A., Ekuban, A., Kwarteng, J., & Domingue, J. (2024). "Best practices for the responsible adoption of generative AI in higher education", proceedings of Online Workshop on Adapting Education: Harnessing AI for Academic Progress, Ulster University, UK.
- Obermeier, M.L. (2024). "Best practices for integrating Artificial Intelligence in Higher Education" proceedings of Learning in the Age of AI: Towards Imaginative Futures, 4 (1). University of Graz, Austria.
- Perkins, M., Furze, L., Roe, J., MacVaugh, J. (2024). The Artificial Intelligence Assessment Scale (AIAS): A Framework for Ethical Integration of Generative AI in Educational Assessment. *Journal of University of Teaching and Learning Practice*, 21(6).
- Pierci Sejdiu, N. & Sejdiu, S. (2025). The quiet transformation of higher education in the AI era [version 1; peer review: 2 approved]. Open Research Europe 2025, 5:249.
- Shneiderman, B. (2022). Defining reliable, safe, and trustworthy systems in *Human Centered AI* pp. 53–56, Oxford University, Oxford.
- Srinivasan, V. (2022). AI & learning: A preferred future. Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence, 3.
- U.S. Department of Education, Office of Educational Technology, (2023). Artificial Intelligence and Future of Teaching and Learning: Insights and Recommendations, Washington, DC. Available at: https://tech.ed.gov
- Walter, Y. (2024). Embracing the future of Artificial Intelligence in the classroom: the relevance of AI literacy, prompt engineering, and critical thinking in modern education. *International Journal of Education Technology*, 21(15).
- Wang, S., Wang, F., Zhu, Z., Wang, J., Tran, T., & Du, Z. (2024). Artificial intelligence in education: A systematic literature review. Expert Systems with Applications, 252 (Part A).
- Yang, S.J.H., Ogata, H., Matsui, T., & Chen, N.S. (2021). Human-centered artificial intelligence in education: Seeing the invisible through the visible. *Computers and Education: Artificial Intelligence*, 2.