

The Role of Emerging Technologies in Transportation Safety

Dimitrios Ziakkas¹, Anastasios Plioutsias¹, and Debra Henneberry²

¹Coventry University, Faculty of Engineering, Environment and Computing, Coventry, CV1 5FB, U.K.

²Purdue University, School of Aviation and Transportation Technology, West Lafayette, IN 47907, USA

ABSTRACT

Emerging technologies are reshaping safety performance across all transportation domains, transforming traditional risk models and redefining the interaction between humans, systems, and complex operational environments. From artificial intelligence and machine learning to advanced sensors, digital twins, predictive analytics, and autonomous platforms, these technologies offer unprecedented opportunities to enhance situational awareness, optimise decision-making, and strengthen organisational resilience. At the same time, their rapid integration introduces new socio-technical challenges, including algorithmic opacity, demands for human-machine coordination, cybersecurity vulnerabilities, and the need for a workforce capable of navigating increasingly intelligent systems. This paper examines the evolving role of emerging technologies in transportation safety, analysing how their adoption both augments and complicates human performance in safety-critical operations. The analysis begins by exploring how emerging technologies enable a shift from reactive to predictive and preventative safety paradigms. AI-driven data analytics now allow organisations to detect weak signals, forecast failure patterns, and identify precursors to incidents long before they manifest operationally. Advanced sensing technologies, such as real-time physiological monitoring, (Light Detection and Ranging) LiDAR, and high-fidelity environmental perception systems, enable continuous surveillance of operational risk conditions. Digital twins provide dynamic, real-world simulations that support scenario testing, training optimisation, and risk assessment. Together, these tools significantly expand system observability and provide a foundation for proactive risk management across aviation, rail, maritime, and surface transport. The paper then critically evaluates the human factors implications associated with this technological advancement. As systems become more autonomous and decision pathways increasingly algorithmic, human operators are required to manage higher levels of abstraction, supervise complex machine behaviour, and integrate diverse streams of automated information. These shifts can reduce manual proficiencies, intensify monitoring burdens, and create new forms of cognitive strain, especially during system anomalies or degraded modes. Trust calibration becomes central: both over-reliance and under-reliance on intelligent technologies pose risks to safety performance. Successful integration requires human-centred design, explainable AI interfaces, transparent communication architectures, and training that strengthens resilience, critical thinking, and understanding of system limitations. Organisational and regulatory implications are also analysed. Transportation safety frameworks, such as Safety Management Systems (SMS), Fatigue Risk Management Systems (FRMS), and

competency-based training programmes, must evolve to incorporate technology-driven safety indicators, algorithmic risk assessments, and new classifications of human-machine interaction hazards. Regulatory bodies face the challenge of establishing performance requirements, certification pathways, and oversight mechanisms for systems that are no longer deterministic. Organisations must also invest in workforce capabilities that align with emerging roles in AI supervision, data-driven decision support, and autonomous operations management. The paper concludes by proposing a multi-layered safety model that integrates emerging technologies with human-centred practices, emphasising resilience engineering, adaptive training, transparent AI governance, and continuous learning across transportation ecosystems. It argues that technological innovation must be framed not as a replacement for human expertise but as an enabler of enhanced human performance. The long-term success of emerging technologies in transportation safety depends on designing systems, organisations, and regulatory structures that maintain human responsibility, support human cognitive strengths, and ensure safe coordination between people and intelligent machines. *This paper contributes a cross-domain, resilience-oriented synthesis that positions emerging technologies as socio-technical amplifiers rather than deterministic safety solutions.*

Keywords: Emerging technologies, Transportation safety, Artificial intelligence, Predictive analytics, Digital twins, Autonomous systems, Human factors

INTRODUCTION

Transportation systems are undergoing an unprecedented transformation driven by the rapid and sustained integration of emerging technologies across operational, organisational, and regulatory layers. Artificial intelligence (AI), machine learning, advanced sensing systems, digital twins, and varying levels of autonomy are now embedded within safety-critical transportation domains, including aviation, rail, maritime, and surface transport. These developments are reshaping not only technical architectures but also the foundational assumptions that underpin safety management, human performance, and system reliability. This transformation requires a reframing of safety from a failure-avoidance paradigm toward one of adaptive capacity, distributed cognition, and human-machine co-performance (Ziakkas, 2024; Ziakkas & Vink, 2023).

Historically, transportation safety has been grounded in retrospective analyses of accidents and incidents, linear causality models, and compliance-oriented regulatory frameworks. While these approaches have contributed significantly to safety improvements over the past decades, they are increasingly strained by the complexity, interconnectivity, and adaptive behaviour of modern socio-technical systems. As Reason (1997) demonstrated, accidents in complex systems rarely arise from single failures; instead, they emerge from combinations of latent conditions, organisational decisions, and local performance variability. Emerging technologies intensify this dynamic by introducing adaptive algorithms, non-deterministic decision pathways, and real-time system reconfiguration.

At the same time, these technologies offer substantial opportunities to enhance transportation safety. AI-enabled analytics enable the identification of weak signals across large operational datasets, allowing organisations to move from reactive responses toward predictive and preventative safety strategies. Advanced sensors expand situational awareness beyond human

perceptual limits, while digital twins allow organisations to simulate complex operational scenarios, evaluate system resilience, and optimise training without exposing operations to unacceptable risk (Plioutsias et al., 2023). However, these benefits are not without cost. The introduction of intelligent systems fundamentally alters human roles, redistributes cognitive workload, and creates new dependencies between humans and machines.

This paper examines the role of emerging technologies in transportation safety through a human-centred, resilience-oriented perspective. Rather than framing technological innovation as a substitute for human expertise, the analysis positions emerging technologies as socio-technical amplifiers whose safety contribution depends on alignment with human cognitive capabilities, organisational processes, and regulatory oversight. Drawing on human factors theory, resilience engineering, regulatory guidance, and the authors' prior empirical and conceptual work, the paper explores how emerging technologies reshape safety paradigms, human performance, and organisational resilience across aviation, rail, and maritime domains.

CONCEPTUALISING EMERGING TECHNOLOGIES AND THE EVOLUTION OF SAFETY PARADIGMS

The integration of emerging technologies has accelerated a fundamental shift in transportation safety paradigms, moving from reactive, event-driven models toward predictive, preventive, and adaptive approaches. This transition reflects a broader movement within safety science away from accident-centric metrics toward continuous performance monitoring and system resilience (Hollnagel, 2014). Emerging technologies play a central role in enabling this shift by increasing system observability, analytical capability, and temporal foresight.

AI and machine learning systems are increasingly used to analyse high-volume, high-velocity operational data streams, identifying patterns that would be difficult or impossible for human analysts to detect. In aviation, AI-driven flight data monitoring and safety intelligence platforms analyse thousands of flight parameters to identify precursors to unstable approaches, exceedances, and crew performance variability long before safety margins are compromised (Ziakkas, 2024). Similar approaches are evident in rail systems, where predictive maintenance algorithms analyse sensor data from rolling stock and infrastructure to forecast component degradation and prevent service-affecting failures.

Advanced sensing technologies further expand the safety envelope by enhancing situational awareness at both human and system levels. In aviation, physiological monitoring technologies are increasingly explored within FRMS frameworks to provide real-time indicators of fatigue and workload, complementing traditional biomathematical models. In maritime operations, integrated bridge systems combine radar, AIS, optical sensors, and environmental data to support navigation in congested or degraded visibility conditions. While these systems enhance perception, they also

increase information density and place greater demands on human attention management.

Digital twins represent a particularly significant development in safety management. By creating dynamic, data-driven virtual representations of operational systems, digital twins allow organisations to explore “what-if” scenarios, assess system behaviour under abnormal conditions, and evaluate the impact of procedural or technological changes before deployment. In rail operations, digital twins have been used to simulate signalling failures and traffic perturbations, supporting resilience analysis and contingency planning. In aviation training, high-fidelity digital twins enable scenario-based training that integrates technical failures, human performance degradation, and organisational constraints, supporting deeper learning and adaptive expertise (Plioutsias et al., 2023).

Despite these advantages, emerging technologies also increase system complexity and interdependence. As systems become more adaptive and interconnected, safety increasingly depends on the quality of coordination between humans and intelligent machines rather than the reliability of individual components. This shift has profound implications for human performance, organisational safety management, and regulatory oversight.

METHODOLOGY

This study adopts a qualitative, conceptual synthesis methodology rather than primary empirical data collection. The research design is grounded in socio-technical systems theory and resilience engineering, recognising that safety performance emerges from dynamic interactions across human, technological, organisational, and regulatory dimensions.

The methodological approach combines three complementary components. First, a structured review of peer-reviewed literature was conducted to identify key findings related to emerging technologies, human performance, automation, trust, and safety management across transportation domains. This review included journal articles, authoritative books, and conference proceedings, with particular emphasis on human factors, resilience engineering, and AI integration in safety-critical systems. The authors’ previously published books and peer-reviewed papers were explicitly incorporated to ensure continuity and depth of analysis.

Second, regulatory documentation from ICAO, EASA, FAA, and relevant rail and maritime authorities was reviewed to examine how existing safety frameworks address emerging technologies. This analysis focused on SMS, FRMS, competency-based training, and emerging guidance related to AI oversight and automation certification. Regulatory texts were analysed not only for stated requirements but also for implicit assumptions regarding system determinism, human roles, and accountability.

Third, a cross-domain comparative synthesis was conducted to identify common socio-technical patterns across aviation, rail, and maritime operations. Rather than treating each domain in isolation, this approach highlights transferable insights and systemic challenges associated with emerging technologies in transportation safety. Analytical validity was

enhanced through triangulation between empirical findings, regulatory expectations, and established theoretical models (Table 1).

Table 1: Research methodology overview.

Analytical Dimension	Data Sources	Analytical Focus
Emerging Technologies	Peer-reviewed literature, industry reports	Safety functionality and risk
Human Performance	HF/E literature, authored works	Cognitive, behavioural, and trust effects
Organisational Safety Regulation	SMS/FRMS guidance ICAO, EASA, FAA	Integration and governance Oversight and certification

FINDINGS

The analysis of this study indicates that emerging technologies significantly enhance the capability of transportation systems to anticipate, detect, and manage safety risks; however, these benefits are neither automatic nor uniformly realised. Across aviation, rail, and maritime domains, safety outcomes are strongly mediated by the interaction between technological capability, human performance, and organisational context. Rather than eliminating human error, emerging technologies reshape its manifestation, timing, and operational consequences, thereby altering the pathways through which safety is either reinforced or degraded.

A consistent finding across all domains is that emerging technologies tend to stabilise performance under nominal conditions while simultaneously increasing system sensitivity to human-machine coordination breakdowns during non-nominal or degraded states. This pattern aligns with resilience engineering perspectives, which emphasise that safety in complex systems is an emergent property arising from adaptive performance rather than static system reliability (Hollnagel, 2014; Woods & Hollnagel, 2006).

Automation, Abstraction, and the Reconfiguration of Human Roles

Emerging technologies, particularly AI-driven analytics and advanced automation, substantially increase the level of abstraction at which human operators interact with transportation systems. In aviation, the widespread integration of flight management systems, auto-flight modes, and AI-supported decision aids has shifted pilot activity away from direct manipulation toward intent management, system supervision, and constraint monitoring. While this transition reduces routine workload and enhances procedural consistency, it also distances operators from underlying system dynamics, particularly during rare or unexpected events.

Empirical evidence from simulator studies, line operations data, and accident investigations indicates that highly automated environments may delay situation recognition when system behaviour deviates gradually rather than abruptly (Endsley & Kiris, 1995). Ziakkas (2024) demonstrates that abstraction-driven automation narrows the temporal window for effective human intervention, increasing reliance on rapid sensemaking under time pressure rather than on well-rehearsed procedural responses. This finding

is particularly relevant for safety-critical phases of flight, where cognitive workload, time constraints, and uncertainty converge.

Comparable patterns are observed in rail operations. Advanced traffic management and signalling systems require operators to supervise network-level behaviour rather than individual train movements. Under nominal conditions, automation supports efficiency and throughput; however, during disruptions such as infrastructure failures or extreme weather events, operators must rapidly reconfigure plans, interpret automated recommendations, and coordinate across organisational interfaces. Studies in rail human factors suggest that automation-induced workload migration leads to pronounced cognitive demand spikes during degraded modes, increasing vulnerability to coordination errors and delayed recovery actions.

Maritime operations further reinforce this finding. Integrated bridge systems and electronic navigation aids enhance perceptual capability but also increase reliance on system modes and data fusion algorithms. Accident analyses consistently highlight difficulties associated with mode awareness, delayed human intervention, and reduced understanding of system limitations (IMO, 2021). Across domains, the findings indicate that emerging technologies reconfigure rather than reduce human involvement, shifting error potential from execution failures toward monitoring, interpretation, and coordination breakdowns.

Trust Calibration and Automation Reliance

Trust in emerging technologies emerges as a critical determinant of safety performance. The findings indicate that both over-reliance and under-reliance on intelligent systems introduce distinct safety risks, consistent with established automation research (Parasuraman & Riley, 1997; Parasuraman et al., 2000). Over-trust increases susceptibility to automation bias, while under-trust leads to disuse and the erosion of potential safety benefits.

In aviation, AI-supported tools for weather avoidance, trajectory optimisation, and operational risk assessment increasingly influence cockpit and dispatch decision-making. However, operators frequently lack sufficient insight into algorithmic intent, confidence boundaries, and failure modes, leading to heuristic-based trust strategies rather than calibrated reliance (Ziakkas, 2024). This dynamic is particularly evident in edge cases where historical system reliability masks contextual limitations.

The rail and maritime domains share similar challenges. Predictive maintenance algorithms in rail systems often provide statistically robust forecasts of component degradation, yet maintenance planners may struggle to interpret uncertainty margins and operational implications. In maritime navigation, collision-avoidance systems may generate manoeuvring recommendations that conflict with human judgement or established seamanship practices, creating ambiguity regarding authority and responsibility.

The findings underscore that trust calibration is not solely an individual cognitive phenomenon but a systemic property shaped by interface design, organisational norms, training practices, and regulatory expectations. Explainable AI, transparent feedback mechanisms, and explicit representation

of uncertainty are therefore central safety enablers rather than optional design features (EASA, 2023; Ziakkas, 2024).

Skill Degradation, Competency Drift, and Adaptive Expertise

Another significant finding concerns the long-term impact of emerging technologies on human skill retention and adaptive expertise. Across all transportation domains, sustained exposure to high levels of automation is associated with reduced opportunities for manual practice and experiential learning. While automation enhances consistency and reduces error rates under routine conditions, it simultaneously narrows the range of experiences through which operators develop resilience and adaptive competence.

In aviation, extensive documentation exists regarding the erosion of manual flying skills in highly automated commercial operations. Beyond motor skill decay, the findings suggest a deeper degradation of cognitive competencies related to system understanding, anticipation, and error detection (Wickens et al., 2015; Ziakkas, 2024). Similar trends are observed in rail operations, where automated train control systems limit direct driving experience, and in maritime operations, where reliance on electronic navigation systems reduces manual navigation practice.

Notably, the findings indicate that skill degradation is not an inevitable consequence of automation but rather a systemic outcome of misaligned training strategies and competency models. Systems and training programmes that maintain meaningful human engagement, provide feedback on system behaviour, and enable safe practice of non-nominal scenarios, such as through high-fidelity simulation and digital twins, are more likely to support adaptive expertise and long-term safety performance (Plioutsias et al., 2023).

Information Density, Attention Management, and Cognitive Load

Emerging technologies substantially increase the volume, velocity, and complexity of information available to human operators. Advanced sensors, data fusion platforms, and predictive analytics generate continuous streams of alerts, indicators, and recommendations. While these capabilities enhance theoretical situational awareness, the findings demonstrate that poorly integrated information architectures can overwhelm attentional resources and degrade decision quality.

In aviation, the proliferation of alerts and advisories has long been recognised as a safety concern, with issues such as alert fatigue and prioritisation errors documented in operational safety reports. AI-enabled predictive alerts risk exacerbating these issues if not carefully calibrated to operational relevance and human cognitive limits (Endsley, 1995). Similar challenges are evident in rail control centres and maritime bridge environments, where operators must integrate information from multiple systems under time pressure.

The findings highlight that analytical accuracy alone is insufficient to ensure safety benefit. Cognitive ergonomics, information prioritisation, and contextual relevance are decisive factors in determining whether emerging technologies enhance or undermine human performance (Woods, 2017).

Organisational Mediation of Technological Risk

A final and critical finding concerns the role of organisational context in mediating the safety impact of emerging technologies. Across all domains, organisations with mature Safety Management Systems, learning-oriented cultures, and strong just culture principles are more likely to integrate emerging technologies as resilience-enhancing capabilities (Dekker, 2018; Reason, 1997). In contrast, compliance-driven organisations tend to deploy emerging technologies primarily for efficiency optimisation or surveillance, increasing the risk of trust erosion, defensive behaviour, and unintended safety consequences.

Ziakkas (2024) demonstrates that emerging technologies amplify existing organisational characteristics rather than neutralising them. When embedded within aligned socio-technical systems, they enhance anticipation, learning, and adaptive capacity. When introduced into fragmented systems, they may introduce new latent conditions and failure pathways (Table 2).

Table 2: Human performance implications of emerging technologies.

Domain	Safety Enhancement	Human Performance Risk
Aviation	Predictive analytics, automation	Skill degradation, automation bias
Rail	Traffic optimisation, maintenance	Cognitive overload in disruptions
Maritime	Integrated perception systems	Over-reliance, mode confusion

DISCUSSION

The integration of emerging technologies necessitates a fundamental evolution of organisational safety management practices. Traditional SMS frameworks, designed mainly for deterministic systems and stable operating envelopes, must expand to incorporate algorithmic risk indicators, data governance protocols, and new classifications of human-machine interaction hazards. Safety assurance processes must also adapt to systems whose behaviour evolves through learning and adaptation.

From a regulatory perspective, the certification and oversight of non-deterministic systems represent a significant challenge. Existing regulatory approaches are predominantly compliance-based and assume predictable system behaviour. Emerging technologies, particularly AI-driven systems, require performance-based oversight models capable of evaluating system behaviour across a range of operational contexts rather than fixed certification points (ICAO, 2023). Regulators must also address questions of accountability, transparency, and explainability, particularly when opaque algorithms influence safety decisions.

Workforce implications are equally significant. Emerging technologies create new roles focused on AI supervision, data interpretation, and system governance. These roles demand competencies that extend beyond traditional technical skills, including systems thinking, critical judgment, and ethical

reasoning. Competency-based training and assessment frameworks must therefore evolve to reflect dynamic human–machine partnerships rather than static task execution.

Within this context, Fatigue Risk Management Systems (FRMS) represent an instructive example of how emerging technologies intersect with human performance governance. As fatigue monitoring increasingly incorporates wearable sensors, biomathematical modelling, and AI-driven risk prediction, FRMS evolves from a compliance tool into a dynamic socio-technical control system. However, without careful attention to trust, data governance, and human interpretability, such systems risk reinforcing surveillance-oriented cultures rather than resilience (Table 3).

Table 3: Organizational and regulatory integration requirements.

Dimension	Integration Focus	Safety Objective
Design	Human-centred interfaces	Maintain situational awareness
Training	Adaptive competency models	Preserve expertise and resilience
Regulation	Performance-based oversight	Manage uncertainty and adaptation

CONCLUSION

Emerging technologies are redefining transportation safety by enabling predictive, adaptive, and data-driven approaches to risk management. However, their safety contribution is neither automatic nor guaranteed. This paper demonstrates that technological innovation must be understood as a socio-technical transformation that requires alignment among human performance, organisational processes, and regulatory oversight.

When integrated through human-centred design, resilience-oriented training, and transparent governance, emerging technologies can enhance human performance and strengthen system resilience across transportation domains. Conversely, poorly integrated technologies risk introducing new failure modes rooted in trust miscalibration, cognitive overload, and organisational blind spots. The long-term success of emerging technologies in transportation safety depends on maintaining human responsibility, supporting cognitive strengths, and ensuring effective coordination between people and intelligent machines.

This synthesis provides a transferable conceptual framework for researchers, regulators, and system designers seeking to integrate emerging technologies without eroding human authority, expertise, or adaptive capacity.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors thank Coventry University, Purdue University faculty members, and HF Horizons analysts for their invaluable feedback, which contributed to this work.

REFERENCES

- Dekker, S. (2018). *Just culture: Restoring trust and accountability in your organization* (3rd ed.). CRC Press.
- Endsley, M. R. (1995). Toward a theory of situation awareness in dynamic systems. *Human Factors*, 37(1), 32–64. <https://doi.org/10.1518/001872095779049543>
- Endsley, M. R., & Kiris, E. O. (1995). The out-of-the-loop performance problem and level of control in automation. *Human Factors*, 37(2), 381–394. <https://doi.org/10.1518/001872095779064555>
- European Union Aviation Safety Agency (EASA). (2023). *Artificial intelligence roadmap 2.0*. <https://www.easa.europa.eu>
- Hollnagel, E. (2014). *Safety-I and Safety-II: The past and future of safety management*. Ashgate.
- International Civil Aviation Organization (ICAO). (2023). *Safety management manual* (4th ed.; Doc 9859). ICAO.
- International Maritime Organization (IMO). (2021). *Guidelines on human-centred design for maritime autonomous surface ships*. IMO.
- Parasuraman, R., & Riley, V. (1997). Humans and automation: Use, misuse, disuse, abuse. *Human Factors*, 39(2), 230–253. <https://doi.org/10.1518/00187209778543886>
- Parasuraman, R., Sheridan, T. B., & Wickens, C. D. (2000). A model for types and levels of human interaction with automation. *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics – Part A: Systems and Humans*, 30(3), 286–297. <https://doi.org/10.1109/3468.844354>
- Plioutsias, A., Ziakkas, D., & Keller, J. (2023). Resilience engineering and digital transformation in transportation safety systems. *Human-Intelligent Systems Integration*, 5(3), 211–225. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s42454-023-00068-9>
- Reason, J. (1997). *Managing the risks of organizational accidents*. Ashgate.
- Wickens, C. D., Hollands, J. G., Banbury, S., & Parasuraman, R. (2015). *Engineering psychology and human performance* (4th ed.). Routledge.
- Woods, D. D. (2017). Four concepts for resilience and the implications for the future of resilience engineering. *Reliability Engineering & System Safety*, 141, 5–9. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.res.2015.03.018>
- Woods, D. D., & Hollnagel, E. (2006). *Joint cognitive systems: Patterns in cognitive systems engineering*. CRC Press.
- Ziakkas, D. (2023). Human performance in aviation: A systems and resilience perspective. CRC Press.
- Ziakkas, D. (2024). *The implementation guide of AI in aviation: Human-centred integration of artificial intelligence in safety-critical systems*. CRC Press.
- Ziakkas, D., & Vink, L. S. (2023). Human-AI teaming and trust calibration in safety-critical operational environments. *Engineering Applications of Artificial Intelligence*, 123, 106232. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.engappai.2023.106232>